



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/712,615	11/13/2000	Kenneth F. Buechler	36671-740.301	4653
80984	7590	08/26/2008		
Invemess Medical Innovations / WSGR Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C. 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304			EXAMINER COOK, LISA V	
			ART UNIT 1641	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/26/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/712,615

Applicant(s)

BUECHLER ET AL.

Examiner

LISA V. COOK

Art Unit

1641

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 97, 98, 105, 106, 119 and 120 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 97, 98, 105, 106, 119 and 120 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-884)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/08
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/21/08 has been entered.

Amendment Entry

2. Applicants response to the Final Action mailed 2/20/08 is acknowledged (paper filed 7/21/08). In the response filed therein claims 1-96, 99-104, 107-118 and 121-128 have been canceled. Currently claims 97, 98, 105, 106, 119, and 120 are pending and under consideration.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1641

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

I. Claims 97, 98, 119, and 120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Buechler (U.S. Patent #5,458,852).

Buechler discloses assay devices meeting the requirements of the instant invention. This is supported by the specification on page 59, lines 21-28. Particularly Buechler's device comprises a reaction chamber (column 6) and a diagnostic lane (column 10 –diagnostic element). See figures 1-5, item #4 (reaction chamber, column 6 and 7), item #17 (optional reagent chambers, column 8 and 9, and item # 6 (diagnostic element, column 10).

The device includes a time gate for measuring the reaction in a given period of time. Please see column 7 lines 41-45. The device is useful in measuring an absolute signal or a rate of change of the signal.

Particularly determining the presence or amount of each target ligand in the sample either visually or instrumentally, column 17, lines 44-46. The rate of change is monitored via the flow rate of reagents through the porous member, column 18, lines 2-9.

Art Unit: 1641

Further the label (signal development element) does not appreciably bind to any reagent in said assay device but could be designed to indirectly cause a visually or instrumentally detectable signal because of the assay process, column 3, lines 17-25.

The apparatus of Buechler further includes an optical system for detecting and processing optical signals generated from the label in the diagnostic lane. Column 20 lines 22-31.

As illustrated in Figure 1, Buechler discloses "diagnostic testing devices for determining the presence or amount of at least one target ligand" which comprise "various elements, [including] a sample addition zone **1**, a sample addition reservoir **2**, a sample reaction barrier **3**, a reaction chamber **4**, a time gate **5**, a diagnostic element **6**, and a used reagent reservoir **7**" (Buechler, Fig.1 and col. 4, 1. 63, to col. 5, 1, 3).

The diagnostic element contains one or more capture zones, and "various means can be used for the detection of signal at the capture zone of the diagnostic element....[including] visual and instrumental means, such as spectrophotometric and reflectance [means]" (id. At col. 11, II. 21-31). Focusing on Buechler's "time gate," Buechler teaches that "the time gate **5** holds the reaction mixture in the reaction chamber **4** for a given period of time..., relative to the assay process such that the reactions which occurs in the reaction chamber **4** as a result of the assay process will reflect the presence or amount of target ligand in the sample. Thus, the time gate **5** delays the flow of the reaction mixture onto the diagnostic element **6**" (Buechler, Fig. 1A, col. 7, 11.41-53).

Buechler, in discussing the diagnostic element, and referring to Figures 1 and 2, teaches that "capture zones are comprised of reagents, such as receptors....which bind or react with one or more components from the reaction mixture. The binding of the reagents from the reaction mixture to the capture zones of the diagnostic element 6 is related to the presence or amount of target ligand in the sample" (Buechler, col. 10, 11. 10-19).

These "capture zones" appear to be the same as the claimed "assay zones" because they are configured to bind analyte, the same function the "assay zones" are required to have by the claim. Moreover, Buechler's receptors "can be placed in discrete zones" (*id* at col. 10, 11. 21-23). In addition to the reagents that bind or react with the target ligand, Buechler teaches that "[r]eceptors or other chemical reagents, for example, a receptor against the signal generator can also be immobilized on the diagnostic element 6 to verify to the user that the reagents of the reaction mixture are viable and that the reaction mixture passed through the zones of the receptors or biosensors" (*id.* at col. 10, 11. 24-29).

Buechler describes a positive control analogous to the independent assay control described in the present specification. See Buechler, col. 14, II. 25-66. "*The reaction mixture may also flow over a positive control zone, which can be for example, an immobilized receptor to the signal development element.....*" Buechler's positive control appears to be designed to independently confirm that the assay reagents have actually passed over the capture zones, i.e., that the assay has run to completion.

Art Unit: 1641

Since the signal-producing reagent used to detect the target in the capture zone(s) is the same signal-producing reagent that binds to the positive control, it is logical to assume that the capture zone(s) and the positive control zone are separate, discrete zones on the surface of the diagnostic element. Otherwise, the target and control signals would be indistinguishable. Moreover, it is logical to assume that Buechler's device is configured such that both the positive control zone and the capture zone can be accessed visually or instrumentally to determine whether the signal producing reagent has contact both zones. Consequently, the claimed optical component appears to be anticipated by the cited reference of Buechler.

In the alternative, Buechler differs from the instant invention in not specifically disclosing the detailed structure of the optical system including an optical component and a signal processor specifically configured to read electronic signals. In particular, while Buechler's "time gate" is in fluid communication with a reaction chamber and an assay zone, and in use, contains optically detectable labels, Buechler's optical component is not appropriately configured (Br.17) to detect a signal from the optically detectable label within the time gate.

However, even if the claimed assay device is not identical to the device of Buechler with regard to the configuration of the optical component to allow for detection in the "time gate", the differences is deemed obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to measure the label reagents in the "time gate" in order to detect the reagents through out the device as a means of tracking reagent flow, assay progression, and assay completion.

In other words, Buechler's device contains a positive control analogous to the independent control described in the present specification. The positive control appears to be designed to independently confirm that the assay reagents have actually passed over the capture zones, i.e., that the assay has run to completion. It would have been prima facie obvious to include an optical system in the device of Buechler such that the positive control and the capture zone can be accessed visually or instrumentally in order to determine the signal producing reagents within the device. This would allow for the detection of all the labeled reagents and their effects within the assay device. Absent evidence to the contrary the invention is alternatively considered obvious.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

II. Claims 105 and 106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buechler (U.S. Patent #5,458,852) in view of Foster et al. (U.S. Patent #4,444,879).

The teachings of Buechler (U.S. patent #5,458,852) as set forth above. However, these references fail to teach the assay as a kit.

However, kits are well known embodiments for assay reagents. Foster et al. (U.S. Patent #4,444,879) describe one example. In their patent kits including the reactant reagents, a micro plate, positive controls, negative controls, standards, and instructions are taught. See figure 6, and column 15, lines 10-34.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to take the detection assay as taught by Buechler (U.S. patent #5,458,852) and format them into a kit because Foster et al. teach that it is convenient to do so and one can enhance sensitivity of a method by providing reagents as a kit. Further, the reagents in a kit are available in pre-measured amounts, which eliminate the variability that can occur when performing the assay.

Response to Arguments

5. Claims 97, 98, 105, 106, 119, and 120 were previously indicated as allowable. However, after an allowance conference the claims were deemed unpatentable. New grounds of rejection have been presented herein. Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience this may cause Applicant.

6. The Group 1641 – Central Fax number is (571) 273-8300, which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week. In the event Applicant would like to fax an unofficial communication, the Examiner should be contacted for the appropriate Right Fax number.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa V. Cook whose telephone number is (571) 272-0816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Shibuya, can be reached on (571) 272-0806.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Group TC 1600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1641

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lisa Cook
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1641
Remsen
571-272-0816

/Lisa V. Cook/

Examiner, Art Unit 1641